

RECEIVED  
02 FEB. 2004PATENT COOPERATION TREATY  
Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 MAY 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING  
SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL  
OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

To:

BIRD, William, E.  
Bird Goen & Co  
Klein Delenstraat 42 A  
B-3020 Winksele  
Belgium

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26 January 2004 (26.01.2004)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference K2365-PCT	<b>IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION</b>		
International application No. PCT/BE2003/000198	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 November 2003 (14.11.2003)		
International publication date (day/month/year) Not yet published	Priority date (day/month/year) 14 November 2002 (14.11.2002)		
Applicant K.U.LEUVEN RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT et al			
<p>1. By means of this Form, which replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents, the applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to all earlier application(s) whose priority is claimed. Unless otherwise indicated by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column or by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).</p> <p>2. (If applicable) The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which, <u>on the date of mailing of this Form, had not yet been received by the International Bureau</u> under Rule 17.1(a) or (b). Where, under Rule 17.1(a), the priority document must be submitted by the applicant to the receiving Office or the International Bureau, but the applicant fails to submit the priority document within the applicable time limit under that Rule, <u>the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.</u></p> <p>3. (If applicable) An asterisk(*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b) (the priority document was received after the time limit prescribed in Rule 17.1(a) or the request to prepare and transmit the priority document was submitted to the receiving Office after the applicable time limit under Rule 17.1(b)). Even though the priority document was not furnished in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b), the International Bureau will nevertheless transmit a copy of the document to the designated Offices, for their consideration. In case such a copy is not accepted by the designated Office as priority document, Rule 17.1(c) provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.</p>			
<u>Priority date</u>	<u>Priority application No.</u>	<u>Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office</u>	<u>Date of receipt of priority document</u>
14 Nove 2002 (14.11.2002) 26 Febr 2003 (26.02.2003)	0226503.1 0304350.2	GB GB	21 Janu 2004 (21.01.2004) NR

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. (41-22) 338.71.30	Authorized officer Roberto PEREZ (Fax 338-71-30) Telephone No. (41-22) 338 8257
--	---



FCT/BE 03/00198  
Rec'd PCT/PTO 10/534677 #2  
12 MAY 2005  
INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

**PRIORITY DOCUMENT**  
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH  
RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

REC'D 21 JAN 2004


WIPO PCT

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed

Dated 17 November 2003

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

## Patents Form 1/77

Patent Act 1977  
(Ends 18)The  
Patent  
Office14NOV02 E763321-1 D10059  
P01/7700 0.00-0226503.1

## Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

THE PATENT OFFICE  
14 NOV 2002  
RECEIVED BY FAXThe Patent Office  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP9 1RH

## 1. Your reference

LRD-GB-1-429

## 2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0226503 1

14 NOV 2002

## 3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

K.U.Leuven Research and Development - Groot Begijnhof 59 - 3000 Leuven

Represented by Dr. Ivo Roelants, IPR Officer

Patents ADP number (If you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

Belgium

7665649003

## 4. Title of the invention

New emulsification technology

## 5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

K.U.Leuven R&amp;D

care of:

Hubert Velge

Neaves Cottage

Neaves Lane - Glyndebourne

East Sussex BN8 5UA

8007916003

Patents ADP number (If you know it)

## 6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (If you know it) the or each application number

Country      Priority application number (If you know it)      Date of filing (day / month / year)

## 7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application      Date of filing (day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if  
a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or  
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or  
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.  
See note (d))

Yes

Patents Form 1/77

## Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 13

Claim(s) 1

Abstract 1

Drawing(s) 1

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) 2

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 8/77)

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify) 1 fax cover sheet

1 fee sheet with request for fax back service

## 11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Dr. Ivo Roelants - IPR Officer

Date

14/11/2002

Signature

Hubert Veige  
+44 7040 540 397

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

## Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

## Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

## NEW EMULSIFICATION TECHNOLOGY

### Field of the Invention

The invention relates to a new method and related equipment for the preparation of emulsions. According to the method of the invention stable emulsions are obtained by conducting an unstable pre-mixture of immiscible fluids through a magnetic field.

### Background of the invention

Emulsions are broadly defined as metastable colloidal dispersion of liquid droplets in another liquid phase. The major emulsions include, oil-in-water and water-in-oil emulsions, benzene, toluene, zylene and nitro-benzene based emulsions.

Besides two liquid phases and an emulsifier, the formation of an emulsion takes energy and occurs at conditions far from equilibrium. In general, the formation of an emulsion comprises two steps. In an initial step the two liquid phases are mixed in the presence of a suitable amount of emulsifier in order to create droplets of the dispersed phase in the continuous phase. Thereafter, the droplets are disrupted by shear forces or by local pressure differences, i.e. by inertial forces, resulting in a more stable emulsion. At present different types of mechanical emulsification processes are used in the production of finely dispersed emulsions, each process requiring specific equipment. Within the mechanical emulsification systems four major categories can be discriminated: droplet disruption in high shear rotor-stator systems, droplet disruption by ultrasound, droplet disruption in high-pressure systems and droplet formation at micropores (microporous membranes or microchannels). Also non-mechanical processes are applied such as precipitation of the dispersed phase previously dissolved in the continuous phase, phase inversion and the phase inversion temperature method.

Emulsions are either produced as consumer products or for applications within a broad range of industries. The areas, in which emulsions are used, include, in a far from exclusive list, foods, paints, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, explosives, rocket fuel, etc. Most applications or products require that emulsions have maximal storage stability. The storage stability refers to the period that the emulsion can be kept before it separates into different phases. The five mechanisms, that can be identified in the process of breaking down an emulsion, are Ostwald ripening, creaming, aggregation, coalescence, and partial coalescence. There are two ways in which the process of breakdown of an emulsion can be influenced: the use of mechanical devices to

control the size of the dispersed droplets and the addition of stabilising chemical additives (emulsifiers), to keep the emulsion dispersed.

The present invention describes a new physical method to produce finely dispersed stable emulsions, using magnetic fluid treatment devices.

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

In a first object the invention relates to a method for the preparation of an emulsion by conducting an unstable mixture of immiscible fluids through a magnetic field. In a more preferred embodiment the mixture further comprises one or more emulsifier.

In a second object the method relates to a device for the preparation of an emulsion according to the method of the invention. Said emulsification device comprising a magnetic fluid conditioner mounted in a circuit comprising a liquid containing portion, whereby the fluids contained in the liquid containing portion can be conducted through the magnetic field of the magnetic fluid conditioner. Optionally, a mixer is mounted on the liquid containing portion. In a more preferred embodiment the device comprises a pump, which allows controlling the speed at which the fluids are conducted through the magnet. In a more preferred embodiment the fluids are recirculated through the magnet back into the liquid containing portion. In an even more preferred embodiment the re-introduction of the magnetically treated mixture into the liquid containing portion is done with proper care in order to avoid that the magnetically treated mixture mixes with the liquids contained in the liquid containing portion. Optionally, the pre-mixture is conducted through a magnetic field when transferring the pre-mixture to the liquid containing portion.

A third object of the invention is the storage of emulsion in the liquid containing portion of the emulsification device of the present invention. Intermittent conduction of the emulsion through the magnetic field may allow prolonging the storage stability of the emulsion.

**DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION****List of figures**

**Figure 1:** Representation of different configurations of the emulsification device.

- A. Emulsification device used in Example 1. The device comprises a liquid container consisting of a Haake bath wherein a centrifugal pump is submerged. Said pump allows conducting the liquid content of the Haake bath through the magnetic device back into the bath.
- B. Emulsification device used in Example 2 and example 4: a liquid reservoir consisting of a cylindrical flask having a conical bottom is equipped with a loop containing a peristaltic pump and a magnetic device. The magnetic device is positioned at the inlet of the reservoir.
- C. Emulsification device used in Example 3: a liquid reservoir consisting of a cylindrical flask having a conical bottom is equipped with a loop containing a peristaltic pump and a magnetic device. The magnetic device is positioned at the outlet of the reservoir.

**Description**

The present invention describes the surprising finding that the passage of an unstable mixture of immiscible liquids through a magnetic field results in a stable emulsion. Furthermore, different operational parameters were identified to be relevant to the preparation of a stable emulsion by means of a magnetic device. The influence of some of these parameters is illustrated in the Examples.

The equipment used in the present study comprised a magnetic device, such as the devices currently used in magnetic water conditioning to prevent scale formation by deposition of calcite in boilers. The magnetic device which was mounted in a circuit containing a pump and a reservoir. In a preferred embodiment the emulsion is prepared by pre-mixing the oil, water and surfactant before filling it in the reservoir. A stable emulsion was obtained by circulating the premix in the unit, depending on the operational parameters. The emulsion stability was evaluated by observing the spontaneous macroscopic phase separation by eye after a period of time. In a series of experiments it was demonstrated that following parameters were affecting the storage stability of the obtained emulsion:

### **1. Influence of flow rate of mixture through the magnetic field**

The stability of the obtained emulsion is dependent on the linear flow rate of the mixture through the permanent magnetic field. In each particular application and equipment, there is a threshold flow, below which there is no improvement of the stability of the pre-mixture. The gain in emulsion stability increases with the linear flow rate. The transition from laminar to turbulent flow, and the occurrence of undesired cavitation impose the maximum linear flow rate. In each application and equipment, an optimum flow rate exists.

### **2. Number of passes through the magnetic field**

The stability of the emulsion is improved when the number of passages through the magnetic device is raised to a maximum effect. The improvement of the emulsion stability is most significant in the first passes. Prolonged circulation has no adverse effect. Below the threshold flow, raising the number of passes does not help to improve the stability of the emulsion.

### **3. Time lapse between passes**

The time lapse between two passes through the magnet while the mixture is in the liquid containing portion or tubing is another parameter of importance to the stability of the emulsion. In a preferred system, the pre-emulsion is treated a number of times with short time intervals as to reach sufficient stability for storage.

### **4. The emulsion storage reservoir**

The nature of the reservoir is an important parameter for several reasons.

The shape of the reservoir is important to ensure that the entire volume of the emulsion is treated and to avoid zones where the premix stagnates. This would increase the time lapse between treatments for part of the volume. The stagnant zones comprising an emulsion/ premix with larger micelles can be responsible for coagulation and phase separation. Experiments with differently shaped reservoirs illustrate the importance of this parameter. Another point of concern is the mixing of the treated emulsion with the stored volume. Mixing is to be minimised in order not to increase the lapse time.

### **5. Alternative magnetic devices**

Most of the experiments were performed with a device manufactured by the CEPI-co company, (CEPI R1/2D). This device contains two AlNiCo magnetic bodies with a specific shape as to create two consecutive orthogonal magnetic fields inside the fluid passing through. Some experiments were executed with different types of magnetic devices. Two clamp-on type devices having the magnets positioned outside of the fluid were used. One of these clamp-on

units had a FeNdB composition, the other one AlNiCo. The stability of the premix was improved in experiments with these alternative magnetic devices as well.

## EXAMPLES

### **EXAMPLE 1: Magnetic preparation of an oil-in-water emulsion using a Haake circulation bath as liquid-containing reservoir**

#### Research equipment and procedures

##### **1. Preparation of the premix**

0,930 kg of fatty acid and 0,061 kg of surfactant were added to 2,001 kg of de-ionised water. The fatty acid 'radiacid 0166' and the surfactant 'radiusurf 7403' were provided by Oleon company. This mixture was vigorously stirred with an IKA RE16 mixer at 300 rpm. The suspension was slowly heated to a temperature of 60-65°C with an IKA RCT heater. The temperature was controlled with an IKA ETS-D4 fuzzy-apparatus.

After 1 hour the temperature had reached 60,7°C. 11,7 g of a 50 wt% NaOH-solution was added dropwise, while stirring of the mixture was continued. In doing this, the temperature increased to 62,2 °C. As a result, a white suspension was obtained.

##### **2. Magnetic Treatment**

The hot suspension was poured into a Haake circulation bath, while mechanical stirring is immediately started (IKA RE16 mixer at 200 rpm). The suspension was pumped at a rate of approx. 3L/min through 2 commercial CEPI-magnets (CEPI R1/2D) in series. The experimental configuration corresponded to the scheme in Fig.1A. The treatment was continued for 6 hours, while the suspension was allowed to cool spontaneously.

##### **3. Determination of emulsion stability**

Samples were collected in small glass vials (7 ml). The phase separation of the emulsion in the vials was observed by eye.

The temperature of the mixture was measured during the treatment. At certain moments a sample of the suspension was taken and stored at room temperature for visual inspection. An overview of the sampling data is given in the Table 1.

EMBED		
time (min)	temperature (°C)	sample
0	58,8	s0
15	51,6	s1
60	39,9	s2
180	30,6	s3
360	28,8	s4

Table 1: timing of sampling and sample notation

## Results

Sample s0 was separating quickly into a white yellow top layer and a white water layer. The emulsions S1-4 appeared by eye to be stable after 6 hours, even the sample taken after 15 min. After overnight storage at room temperature most of the samples showed a phase separation, though a better stability was observed the longer the magnetic treatment was continued. None of the applied treatment times was sufficient to obtain an emulsion that was stable 24 hours or more as observed by eye.

## Conclusion

This experiment demonstrated that conducting a premix through a magnetic field improved the storage stability of an oil-in-water premix. The emulsions showed coagulation and phase separation in the period between 6 and 24 h, while in the premix phase separation was observed within minutes. Nevertheless, the storage stability of the emulsions was still relatively short. This could be related to the configuration of the magnetic emulsification device used in this experiment. The rectangular shape of the Haake recirculation bath probably provided stagnant zones, which may have resulted in an incomplete treatment of premix contained in the bath. The presence of untreated premix, containing large micelles, in the final emulsion probably resulted in the limited storage stability of the obtained emulsion.

## **EXAMPLE 2: Magnetic preparation of an oil-in-water emulsion using cylindrical flask with a conic bottom as liquid-containing reservoir**

**Research equipment and procedures****1. Preparation of the premix**

1,163 kg of fatty acid and 0,076253 kg of surfactant were added to 2,504 kg of de-ionised water (in a 1L-beaker). The fatty acid 'radiacid 0166' and the surfactant 'radiusurf 7403' were provided by Oleon company. This mixture was vigorously stirred with an IKA RE16 mixer at 300 rpm. The suspension was slowly heated to a temperature of 63 °C with an IKA RCT heater. The temperature was controlled with an IKA ETS-D4 fuzzy-apparatus.

When the temperature had reached 60,5 °C 14,620 g of a 50 wt% NaOH-solution was added dropwise, while stirring of the mixture was continued. The suspension was allowed to cool to 40 °C.

**2. Magnetic treatment**

1,5 l of the suspension (40 °C) was poured into a liquid containing portion of 2 l. Said liquid containing portion consisted of a cylindrical flask with a conic bottom. Tubing (Masterflex Tygon lab I/P 70, Cole-Parmer Instrument Company) was attached to the bottom of this flask. Via the pump (Masterflex I/P) the emulsion was pumped to the inlet on top of the reactor. A commercial magnet (CEPI R1/2D) was attached to the end of the tubing at the inlet of the reservoir (Fig.1B). The pump was turned on at a pumping speed of 4,7 l/min (determination of flow rate done with tap water).

**3. Determination of emulsion stability**

The stability of the emulsions with time was monitored by observations by eye. Samples were collected in polystyrene cuvettes (2,5 ml) and in small glass vials (7 ml).

The emulsion was sampled at specific times (Table 2). The samples were stored at room temperature (20-25°C) or in the refrigerator (7°C) for visual inspection. An overview of the sampling data is given in the table below. Samples s0, with a time indication 0', was taken after one pass of the emulsion through the magnet. Sampling of s0 happened on the outlet of the magnetic device, while samples s1-s3 were taken in the cylindrical storage flask.

time (min)	sample room temp	sample refrigerator
0'	s0	s0
15	s1	s1
30	s2	s2
60	s3	s3

Table 2 : timing of sampling

## Results

3,5 hours after sampling, s0 samples stored in the refrigerator as well as those stored at room temperature showed phase segregation. The later collected samples were still stable after 21 hours after sampling. Inspection of the s1, s2 and s3 samples after 92 hours of storage revealed some phase separation. before.

## Conclusions

Compared to Example 1 the storage stability of the emulsion was significantly improved by using a cylindrical flask with conical bottom as liquid containing portion  
 Another important conclusion is that a single passage through the magnet was insufficient to obtain a stable emulsion EXAMPLE 3: magnetic effect

## Experiment 1

### Research equipment and procedures

#### 1. Preparation of the pre-mix

1,163 kg of fatty acid and 0,076253 kg of surfactant were added to 2,504 kg of de-ionised water (in a 1L-beaker). The fatty acid 'radiacid 0166' and the surfactant 'radiusurf 7403' were provided by Oleon company. This mixture was vigorously stirred with an IKA RE16 mixer at 300 rpm. The suspension was slowly heated to a temperature of 63 °C with an IKA RCT heater. The temperature was controlled with an IKA ETS-D4 fuzzy-apparatus.

When the temperature had reached 60,5 °C, 14,620 g of a 50 wt% NaOH-solution was added dropwise, while stirring of the mixture was continued. The suspension was spontaneously cooled to 40 °C. The pre-mix was stored for 5 days at room temperature. Before use, the pre-mix was stirred once more (IKA RE16 ; 300 rpm) but not heated.

One day later, the same batch of pre-mix was used for a blanc experiment.

## 2. Magnetic treatment

250 ml of the suspension (room temperature) was poured into a cylindrical flask (2 l) with a conic bottom. A commercial magnet (CEPI R1/2D) was mounted in a loop at the outlet of the reservoir (Fig.1C) The loop consisted of tubing of the type Masterflex Tygon lab I/P 70, Cole-Parmer Instrument Company. The tubing connects the magnet via the pump (Masterflex I/P) with the inlet on top of the reservoir. The pump was turned on at position..... corresponding to a pumping speed of 4,7 l/min (measurement conducted with tap water).

The same set-up was used for the blank experiment, except for the magnetic device which was left out.

## 3. Determination of emulsion stability

Timestability of the emulsions was inspected from time to time during storage by eye. Samples were collected in polystyrene cuvettes (2,5 ml) and in small glass vials (7 ml).

After specific treatment times, the emulsion was sampled. Sampling was done at the end of the tubing positioned at the inlet of the reservoir. During sampling the pump was turned off. The samples were stored at room temperature (25 °C) and in the refrigerator (7 °C). An overview of the sampling is given in table 3. The approximative number of passages as given in table 3 was estimated based on the volume of pre-mix introduced in the reservoir and the flow. .

	time (min)	number of passages	sample room temp	sample refrigerator
experiment with magnetic device	0'	1	s0	s0
	1	12	s1	s1
	2	24	s2	s2
	15	180	s3	s3
blank experiment	2	24	b2	b2
	15	180	b3	b3

Table 3 : sample notations

## Results

All samples prepared with the magnetic device were emulsions, the stability of which depended on the treatment time. After 18 hours storage, sample s0 showed phase separation at both storage temperatures. Samples s1 and s2, in the refrigerator as well as at room temperature,

was still stable after 22 h, but inspection after 42 hours revealed a phase separation. Sample s3, stored at room temperature, de-mixed nine hours later, viz. 51 hours after the treatment. Fourteen days after treatment, the sample s3 stored in the refrigerator was still stable.

The storage stability of the samples of the blank experiment was clearly lower. Independent of the storage temperature, samples b2 and b3 showed phase separation within 20 hours after treatment.

### Conclusions

A clear difference in emulsion stability was observed between samples obtained in a system with and without a magnetic device. This demonstrates that conducting an emulsion through a magnetic field contributes to the formation of a stable emulsion.

## **Experiment 2**

### Research equipment and procedures

#### **1. Preparation of the pre-mix**

1,163 kg of fatty acid and 0,076253 kg of surfactant were added to 2,504 kg of de-ionised water (in a 1L-beaker). The fatty acid 'radiacid 0166' and the surfactant 'radiasurf 7403' were provided by Oleon company. This mixture was vigorously stirred with an IKA RE16 mixer at 300 rpm. The suspension was slowly heated to a temperature of 63 °C with an IKA RCT heater. The temperature was controlled with an IKA ETS-D4 fuzzy-apparatus.

When the temperature had reached 60,5 °C, 14,620 g of a 50 wt% NaOH-solution was added dropwise, while stirring of the mixture was continued. The suspension was allowed to cool to 40 °C. The heater was turned off and the pre-mix stored for 11 days at room temperature. Before use, the pre-mix was stirred once more (IKA RE16 ; 300 rpm) but not heated.

#### **2. Magnetic treatment**

The emulsification device used in this experiment comprised a liquid reservoir consisting of a cylindrical flask with a conic bottom. A commercial magnet (CEPI R1/2D) was mounted in an external loop according to the scheme of Fig. 1C. The loop consisted of tubing (Masterflex

Tygon lab I/P 70, Cole-Parmer Instrument Company) 250 ml of the suspension (room temperature) was pumped from a beaker into the reservoir of the unit via the magnet and the tubing. The pump speed was set at position ..... corresponding to a flow of 6,3 l/min (measurement conducted with tap water).

The same setup is used for the blank experiment, except for the magnetic device.

### 3. Determination of emulsion stability

The stability of the emulsions was inspected by eye. Samples were collected in polystyrene cuvettes (2,5 ml) and in small glass vials (7 ml).

After 1 hour of treatment, the emulsion was samples in two ways. One sample was taken at the end outlet of the tubing, the other in the reservoir itself. The samples were stored at room temperature (25 °C) and in the refrigerator (7 °C) for visual analysis. An overview of the sampling scheme is given in the table 4.

	sampling	sample room temp	sample refrigerator
experiment with magnetic device	reservoir	s1	s1
	tubing	s2	s2
blank experiment	reservoir	b1	b1
	tubing	b2	b2

Table 4: sampling scheme

### Results

In the refrigerator the four samples were still stable after 4 days of storage. Upon storage at room temperature, phase separation occurred in both samples of the blank experiment (b1 and b2) in the period between 29,5 and 70 hours. Samples s1 and s2 obtained with the magnet in the loop, were much more stable and showed a phase separation somewhere between 101 and 165,5 hours of storage at room temperature. Samples s1 and s2, stored in the refrigerator, were still stable after 197,5 hours of storage.

### Conclusions

This experiment confirmed the contribution of the magnetic field to the stabilisation of the emulsion. Furthermore, improved storage stability was observed as compared to the previous experiments. This improved stability can be attributed to the higher pumping speed and to the fact that the emulsion was pumped into reservoir through the magnetic device.

#### **EXAMPLE 4: Stability of an emulsion stored in a larger recipient**

##### **Research equipment and procedures**

###### **1. Preparation of the pre-mix**

1,163 kg of fatty acid and 0,076253 kg of surfactant were added to 2,504 kg of de-ionised water (in a 1L-beaker). The fatty acid 'radiacid 0166' and the surfactant 'radiusurf 7403' were provided by Oleon company. This mixture was vigorously stirred with an IKA RE16 mixer at 300 rpm. The suspension was slowly heated to a temperature of 63 °C with an IKA RCT heater. The temperature was controlled with an IKA ETS-D4 fuzzy-apparatus.

When the temperature had reached 60,5 °C 14,620 g of a 50 wt% NaOH-solution was added dropwise, while stirring of the mixture was continued. The suspension was allowed to cool to 40 °C.

###### **2. Magnetic treatment**

1,5 l of the suspension (40 °C) was poured into a 2 l cylindrical flask with a conic bottom serving as liquid reservoir . . The external loop consisted of tubing (Masterflex Tygon lab I/P 70, Cole-Parmer Instrument Company). Magnet (CEPI R1/2D) and peristaltic pump were mounted in the loop according to Fig.1C. IsThe pump is turned on at position..... corresponding to a pumping speed of 4,7 l/min (measurement conducted with tap water).

###### **3. Emulsion stability determination**

The stability of the emulsions was inspected by eye. The Sample was collected in a 1 litre glass Erlenmeyer.

After ne hour of treatment the emulsionwas pumped through the magnetinto a 1 l glass-Erlenmeyer.

Results

The sample stored in the Erlenmeyer flask had a storage stability of more than 19 days. The higher stability of the sample stored in the Erlenmeyer as compared to the stability of the samples stored in the 2,5 ml cuvettes and the 7 ml vials is known in the art. The surface to volume ratio, the shape and the chemical nature of the recipient can be critical, especially when stability over long storage periods is desired.

The evaluation of the emulsion stability of the samples in small flasks as done in Examples 1-3 represents an accelerated stability test.


**CLAIMS**

1. A method for the preparation of an emulsion characterized in that the method comprises conducting a 'premix' of immiscible liquids through a magnetic field.
2. A method according to claim 1 whereby the 'premix' is recirculated through a magnetic field.
3. A method according to claim 1 to 2 wherein the 'premix' of immiscible liquids comprises an emulsifier.
4. A method according any of the claims 1 to 3 whereby the immiscible liquids are oil and water.
5. A method according to claim 4 for the preparation of an oil-in-water emulsion.
6. A method according to claim 4 for the preparation of a water-in-oil emulsion.
7. A method according to any of the claims 1 to 6 whereby the premix is conducted through a body with a permanent magnetic field.
8. A method according to any of the claims 1 to 7 whereby the flow rate of the premix through the magnetic field is below the flow rate of the transition from laminar to turbulent flow.
9. An emulsification device comprising a liquid containing device, a magnetic device and a pumping system said emulsification device allowing to recirculate a 'premix' through the magnetic device.
10. Use of a device according to claim 9 for the preparation of an emulsion according to the method of any of the claims 1 to 8.


**Abstract**

The invention relates to a new method and related equipment for the preparation of emulsions. According to the method of the invention stable emulsions are obtained by conducting an unstable pre-mixture of immiscible fluids through a magnetic field.


A



B



C



Pump



Figure 1: Representation of different configurations of the emulsification device.

1/1

3  
2000  
12-  
12-

PCT Application  
**BE0300198**



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**